

Liberty Elementary School

2730 South Bon View Avenue • Ontario, CA 91761-6863 • 909-947-9749 • Grades K-6

Deborah Hutchinson, Principal

deborah_hutchinson@chino.k12.ca.us

www.chino.k12.ca.us

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year

Chino Valley Unified School District

5130 Riverside Drive Chino, CA 91710-4130 (909) 628-1201 www.chino.k12.ca.us

District Governing Board

Andrew Cruz, President
Sylvia Orozco, Vice President
Pamela Feix, Clerk
James Na, Member
Irene Hernandez-Blair, Member
Shweta Shah, Student
Representative

District Administration

Wayne M. Joseph
Superintendent
Norm Enfield, Ed.D.
Deputy Superintendent

Sandra Chen

Assistant Superintendent, Business Services

Jeanette Chien, Ed.D.
Assistant Superintendent,
Educational Services

Grace Park, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources

Gregory J. Stachura
Assistant Superintendent,
Facilities, Planning & Operations

School Description

Liberty Elementary School is a student centered school where every child is valued as an individual; every child is central to our efforts to provide an educational experience that assists them in reaching their full potential; and students leave school with the ability and skills necessary to live as responsible, productive, and successful citizens of the 21st century.

At Liberty, every child will achieve success through academic programs and efforts by our school to become well rounded individuals; through access to a rigorous curriculum that is tailor-made to meets his/her individual cognitive, physical, social, and emotional need regardless of his/her background.

To accomplish this, our staff is committed to being life long, self-renewing learners with a passion to maintain and improve their skills in meeting students needs; being committed to working as a collaborative team with each other, the students, parents and community at large; and using a multitude of research-based, flexible academic strategies, programs and assessments, as well as state of the art technological tools, to effectively move our students forward towards higher levels of achievement.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at 909-947-9749 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level	Number of Students			
Kindergarten	125			
Grade 1	74			
Grade 2	105			
Grade 3	109			
Grade 4	88			
Grade 5	100			
Grade 6	97			
Total Enrollment	698			

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	2.3			
Asian	8.9			
Filipino	2.7			
Hispanic or Latino	71.8			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1			
White	13.5			
Two or More Races	0.4			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	65.8			
English Learners	20.8			
Students with Disabilities	11.5			
Foster Youth	0.9			

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials							
Liberty Elementary School	13-14	14-15	15-16				
With Full Credential	24.3	30	31				
Without Full Credential	0	0	0				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0				
Chino Valley Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16				
With Full Credential	*	*	1257.8				
Without Full Credential	*	*	12				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	•	8				

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School								
Liberty Elementary School 13-14 14-15 15-16								
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0					
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0					
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0					

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers							
Location of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Qualified Teachers							
This School	100.0	0.0					
	Districtwide						
All Schools	All Schools 96.5 3.5						
High-Poverty Schools 95.4 4.6							
Low-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools 97.7 2.3						

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

On October 14, 2015, the Chino Valley Unified School District's Board of Education held a public hearing to certify the extent to which textbooks and instructional materials have been provided to students. The Board of Education adopted Resolution 2015/2016-30 which certifies as required by Education Code 60119 that (1) sufficient textbooks and instructional materials have been provided to each student, including English learners, that are aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and context of the curriculum frameworks in the following subjects: history/social science, mathematics, reading/language arts, and science, (2) sufficient textbooks or instructional materials were provided to each pupil enrolled in foreign language or health classes, (3) laboratory equipment was available for science laboratory classes offered in grades 9-12, inclusive.

Textbooks and instructional materials are provided for each student for use in class and to take home. It was determined that each pupil in each school has sufficient textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to the academic content standards in the core subject areas for the 2015/2016 school year.

*Note: These materials are not from the most recent State Board adoption. The District elected to wait for new additions of State Board approved materials that would be aligned with current Common Core State Standards. To ensure alignment with current state standards the Houghton Mifflin Reading and Language Arts textbook is being supplemented with English Language Arts (ELA) units of study that were written by our ELA teachers using the rigorous curriculum design model. These supplemental units were focused on Common Core State Standards. Our District will begin the adoption process for new ELA materials in early April 2016.

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: October 2015
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	TK MacMillan/McGraw-Hill; Little Treasurers (All Day National Kit, Read Aloud Big Books, Trade Books, plus additional components) (Adopted: 2012) K-6 Houghton Mifflin Company; HM California Reading (Adopted: 2003) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: No* Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Mathematics	TK MacMillan/McGraw-Hill; Little Treasurers (All Day National Kit, Read Aloud Big Books, Trade Books, plus additional components) (Adopted: 2012) TK Center for Innovation in Education; Math Their Way (Adopted: 2012) K-5 Pearson Scott Foresman; enVision Math California (Adopted: 2014) 6 Houghton Mifflin & Harcourt, Big Ideas Math, Course 1, Course 2, and Course 3 (Adopted: 2015) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	TK MacMillan/McGraw-Hill; Little Treasurers (All Day National Kit, Read Aloud Big Books, Trade Books, plus additional components) (Adopted: 2012) K-5 Houghton Mifflin Company; Houghton Mifflin California Science (Adopted: 2008) 6 Pearson Scott Foresman; Scott Foresman Focus on California Earth Science (Adopted: 2008) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	TK MacMillan/McGraw-Hill; Little Treasurers (All Day National Kit, Read Aloud Big Books, Trade Books, plus additional components) (Adopted: 2012) K-5 Harcourt School Publishers; Reflections: California Series (Adopted: 2007) 6 Holt, Rinehart and Winston; Holt California Social Studies (Adopted: 2007) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The Chino Valley Unified School District provides a safe, clean environment for students, staff, and parents. Custodians ensure classrooms, restrooms, and campus grounds are kept clean and safe. A scheduled maintenance program is in place to keep all classrooms and facilities well-maintained and provide an environment that is conducive to learning. The school site complies with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards.

Safety concerns are the number one priority of Chino Valley Maintenance and Operations department. District maintenance supervisors are proactive and conduct inspections at school sites on a continual basis. Classrooms, bathrooms, and general areas are kept in good repair and receive basic cleaning on a daily basis. Detailed cleaning is done during vacation periods.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: September 2015						
System Inspected		Repa	r Status		Repair Needed and	
System inspected	Good Fair		Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х				Staff lounge-flooring damage from cracks, tears, holes, or water Deficiencies were corrected December 2015.	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х				Boys RR-dirty/not maintained regularly Deficiencies were corrected December 2015.	
Electrical: Electrical	Х				Fun club-electrical outlet covers/light switch covers damaged/missing. Deficiencies were corrected December 2015.	
Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	х				Rooms: 7, 5, 13, 23 -water pressure too high/low Health office-sink/fountain fixture loose Deficiencies were corrected December 2015.	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					
Overall Rating	Exemplary X	Good	Fair	Poor		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

	2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards Subject (grades 3-8 and 11)							
	School	District	State				
ELA	50	55	44				
Math	42	42	33				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
Subject	School District State								
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	12-13 13-14 14-15			13-14	14-15
Science	67	73	70	63	67	64	59	60	56

Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6			
5	17.80	21.80	31.70			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group					
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
·	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
All Students in the LEA	64				
All Student at the School	70				
Male	73				
Female	66				
Black or African American	1				
Asian	91				
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	66				
White	68				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-1				
English Learners	27				
Students with Disabilities	68				
Foster Youth	-				

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students				
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	108	107	99.1	23	24	32	21
	4	88	87	98.9	48	23	21	8
	5	102	101	99.0	20	22	43	16
	6	100	97	97.0	16	25	40	19
Male	3		51	47.2	27	24	27	22
	4		56	63.6	55	20	18	7
	5		59	57.8	24	22	34	20
	6		49	49.0	16	27	45	12
Female	3		56	51.9	20	25	36	20
	4		31	35.2	35	29	26	10
	5		42	41.2	14	21	55	10
	6		48	48.0	17	23	35	25
Black or African American	3		2	1.9				
	4		1	1.1				
	5		2	2.0				
	6		1	1.0				
Asian	3		6	5.6				
	4		7	8.0				
	5		12	11.8	8	0	75	17
	6		14	14.0	0	21	29	50

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

Dis	aggregat	ed by Studer	nt Groups, Gr	ades Three thr	ough Eight and	Eleven			
		Number of Students		Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
Filipino	3		3	2.8					
	4		1	1.1					
	5		3	2.9					
	6		5	5.0					
Hispanic or Latino	3		87	80.6	28	28	28	17	
	4		66	75.0	50	23	20	8	
	5		66	64.7	20	29	36	15	
	6		63	63.0	22	27	38	13	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	6		1	1.0					
White	3		9	8.3					
	4		12	13.6	42	33	17	8	
	5		18	17.6	28	11	44	17	
	6		13	13.0	15	31	46	8	
Two or More Races	3		0	0.0					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		80	74.1	28	30	29	14	
	4		61	69.3	52	25	15	8	
	5		67	65.7	19	25	40	15	
	6		65	65.0	20	31	32	17	
English Learners	3		24	22.2	46	38	17	0	
	4		11	12.5	82	9	9	0	
	5		11	10.8	55	27	18	0	
	6		9	9.0					
Students with Disabilities	3		12	11.1	50	25	17	8	
	4		14	15.9	86	7	0	7	
	5		10	9.8					
	6		11	11.0	64	18	18	0	
Foster Youth	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Percent of Students Number of Students** Grade **Student Group** Standard Standard Standard Standard **Enrolled Tested Tested Not Met Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded All Students** 99.1 98.9 99.0 97.0 Male 47.2 63.6 57.8 49.0 **Female** 51.9 35.2 41.2 48.0 **Black or African American** 1.9 1.1 2.0 1.0 Asian 5.6 8.0 11.8 14.0 **Filipino** 2.8 1.1 2.9 5.0 **Hispanic or Latino** 80.6 75.0 64.7 63.0 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 1.0 White 8.3 13.6 17.6 13.0 Two or More Races 0.0 ------

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students** Grade Student Group Standard Standard Standard Standard **Enrolled Tested** Tested **Not Met Nearly Met** Met **Exceeded** Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 3 80 74.1 20 44 5 31 4 69.3 26 48 20 7 61 5 67 65.7 27 36 25 12 6 65 65.0 26 37 17 20 **English Learners** 3 0 24 22.2 33 38 29 4 45 45 9 n 11 12.5 5 11 10.8 45 36 18 0 6 9 9.0 Students with Disabilities 3 8 12 11.1 50 17 25 4 14 15.9 50 43 7 0 5 10 9.8 6 11 11.0 64 18 9

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

Foster Youth

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

3 4 5

· Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

We believe that in order for Liberty students to be successful, parents and teachers must form a partnership. Liberty Elementary School has created a Partnership Action Plan to outline implementation of Practices that promote Parent/Community Partnerships. That partnership includes: Attending school-sponsored parent information nights.(Parent Academy)Attending parent-teacher conferences.Being actively involved with homework.Being aware of grade level expectations and standards.Communicating regularly with your child's teacher.Fall and Spring Festivals and Book FairsParent Volunteers in the classroom and Volunteer TeaVolunteers in Partnership (VIP) roomParent Faculty Association (PFA)School Site Council (governance for all programs)English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)Parent AcademySchool Advisory Committee (Special Education)

The Liberty community is a large, extended family where: Each member of the family is crucial to achieving creative, successful, life-long, productive learners. Powerful collaboration and teamwork exists not only among the staff, but also includes the students, parents, and community.

Parents interested in volunteering at our school can visit the school web page to access dates and contact information for the PFA and administrative team or contact the school office at (909)947-9749. The VIP (Volunteers In Partnership) room is located in the Multi-Purpose room and is open to all parents from 8:40-3:30 daily.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Each school has a detailed School Site Safety Plan for emergencies. Each staff member is assigned a specific responsibility in the event of an emergency. Staff members are trained in the plan's components and procedures. Fire, earthquake, and intruder drills are held on a regular basis so that all students and staff are familiar with emergency procedures. Emergency supplies are located on each campus in the event of an emergency.

Assigned staff monitors school grounds daily before, during, and after school. The District policy regarding campus visitors is enforced by requiring everyone to check in at the school office and obtain a visitor's badge. A visitor sign-in log is located in the school office and all visitors must sign in before going onto the campus. In addition, all visitors must wear an identifying badge while on campus. To increase security, all gates remain locked during the school day, so visitors must enter campus through the school office.

The Chino Valley Unified School District is committed to providing an environment that fosters health and safety, in both form and function. This commitment extends to the process of developing and maintaining a comprehensive Emergency and Disaster Preparedness Plan as a part of the District's Safe Schools Plan and is evident from the individual site to the overall District. These plans delineate actions to protect all students while they are at school. The plans are designed with the help of security staff members, local law enforcement, local fire, and emergency management, and public health officials as required by Education Code 32280-32282.

Plans are reviewed and updated yearly in accordance with Education Code 32286. These plans include procedures to respond to critical incidents, such as fire, earthquake, or intruders. School personnel practice these drills regularly.

The primary purpose of the Chino Valley Unified School District Emergency and Disaster Preparedness Plan is to define roles and responsibilities at the site and between the site and district office management. The Emergency and Disaster Preparedness Plan establishes the minimum requirements for school and site plans throughout the District. This Plan meets the requirements of the Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) as mandated by California Code of Regulations, Sections 2400-2450, and the National Incident Management System (NIMS) as mandated by Government Code 8607. It also meets the requirements for earthquake preparedness found in Title 5 (California State Education Code, Sections 35295-35297).

Sus	pensions and Expulsions		
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	2.30	1.31	1.98
Expulsions Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	4.05	3.80	3.32
Expulsions Rate	0.06	0.05	0.08
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria				
AYP Criteria	School	District	State	
English Lan	guage Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mathe	matics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes	

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program			
Indicator	School	District	
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In Pl	
First Year of Program Improvement	2012-2013	2009-2010	
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 3	
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	13		
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	86.7		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
	Average Class Size Number of Classrooms*											
	Average Cia	ass size			1-20			21-32			33+	
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2012-1			2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
К	31	24	25				3	3	5			
1	30	30	23				3	3	3			
2	31	30	25				2	3	4			
3	31	31	27				4	3	4			
4	25	31	31	1				3	2	3		
5	33	24	29		1			3	4	2		
6	29	31	22	1		2		3	3	4		·
Other	13	13	11	1	2	1						

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	0			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.4			
Psychologist	0.5			
Social Worker	0			
Nurse	0.5			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0			
Resource Specialist	1.0			
Other	0			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor				

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The Chino Valley Unified School District is committed to high quality Professional Learning to support the instructional capacity of teachers and leaders. Professional learning opportunities are aligned to CVUSD's Area of Emphasis for Common Core ELA, Common Core Math, 4C's-Critical Thinking, Student Behavior, Instructional Technology and Formative Assessments. These areas were identified by the Teaching and Learning Task Force as focus areas for our district in 2015-16. Professional learning opportunities are evaluated through survey results, feedback, and next steps from End-Users. Professional learning opportunities are varied in its delivery: district-wide days during school hours, after-school workshops and volunteer sessions. Implementation for learning is supported through Site-Based PD from Site Administrators and coaching opportunities from Intervention Specialists.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries				
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category		
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,129	\$43,165		
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,330	\$68,574		
Highest Teacher Salary	\$92,400	\$89,146		
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$109,686	\$111,129		
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$113,566	\$116,569		
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$127,787	\$127,448		
Superintendent Salary	\$200,000	\$234,382		
Percent of District Budget				
Teacher Salaries	43%	38%		
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%		

Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries &

Types of Services Funded

The district's general fund includes monies for:

- 1. General operations- services, materials, and support to the general education.
- Specific education-programs offering appropriate, individualized education to students with special needs.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
11	Ехр	enditures Per I	Pupil	Average		
Level	Total	Restricted	icted Unrestricted Sala			
School Site	\$7,773	\$2,000	\$5,773	\$79,106		
District	•	•	\$5,945	\$78,442		
State	•	•	\$5,348	\$72,971		
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-2.9	3.3		
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			23.1	11.9		

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

- 3. Special projects- monies from agencies (e.g., federal, state) earmarked for specific services.
- 4. Transportation
- 5. Maintenance and operations
- 6. District administration
- 7. LCFF/LCAP- Serves targeted students of the district See District's LCAP plan located on www.cvusd.k12.ca.us

Each school in the district receives an instructional budget based upon enrollment, programs and on formulas set by the Board of Education policy, state law, agreements with employee bargaining units, and guidelines of outside funding agencies.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at
libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length
of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print
documents